

2018 Proposed Policies- FARM MEMBER BALLOT OPEN: June 5-July 8.

1. Introduction

Each year, Organic Farming Association solicits input on policy priorities and policy positions from ALL U.S. CERTIFIED ORGANIC FARMERS and organic farm organizations, which we did in December 2017. The OFA Policy Committee reviewed the results, identified the top priorities, and drafted policy statements from this broad-based solicitation to submit to OFA members for comment, which was completed in May 2018.

Now, the certified organic FARM MEMBERS of Organic Farmers Association, will vote on these policy positions. For a position to become adopted as OFA policy, it must have 60% of the popular national vote <u>and</u> 60% popular support in at least two-thirds of the regions. Adopted policies will become part of the Organic Farmers Association Policy Platform.

BALLOT OPEN: Tuesday, June 5 -- Sunday, July 8. BALLOT MUST BE POSTMARKED BY SATURDAY, JULY 7.

If you are not yet a certified organic farm member of Organic Farmers Association but want to participate in the vote, join today to receive your ballot.

Only one ballot per farm is accepted to honor our ONE FARM, ONE VOTE policy. Proposed policy positions are organized by topic.

- 1. Organic Integrity
- 2. Contamination
- 3. Hydroponics
- 4. Organic Certification Cost Share
- 5. Organic Research
- 6. National Organic Standards Board (NOSB)
- 7. Organic Livestock and Poultry Practices Rule
- 8. Crop Insurance
- 9. Food Safety Modernization Act (FSMA)
- 10. Beginning Farmers & Ranchers
- 11. Organic Market Growth
- 12. NRCS: EQIP, CSP, CRP
- 13. Public Seeds & Breeds
- 14. Organic Production Market & Data Initiatives (ODI)
- 15. Organic Liaison at USDA
- 16. Submit Ballot Information

1. NOP Enforcement to Ensure Organic Integrity

NOP Enforcement to Ensure Organic Integrity

SUPPORT

Organic integrity is the bedrock of the organic label. We must have equitable and honest enforcement of the National Organic Standards across all commodities, states, farm size, and throughout international trade. Please review the following policy statements regarding varying aspects of necessary increased NOP enforcement.

high risk operations and to bring non exclude them from the program. USD	Forcement of NOP standards: USDA should take immediate action to focus first on -complying operations and their organic certifying agents into compliance or DA should be required to provide more transparency about the enforcement dited certifying agents. Congress should use its oversight authority to ensure that tighten enforcement. OPPOSE
PROPOSED POSITION:	
	import inspection, review, and testing protocols to ensure organic label integrity.
SUPPORT	OPPOSE
_	nd Consumer Protection Act, which includes new Farm Bill requirements for USDA rder Protection, to implement enhanced procedures to track organic imports and comply with U.S. organic standards.
living conditions that upholds the into minimum of 120 days on pasture and	tation and implementation of §205.237 Livestock feed and §205.239 Livestock ention of the rule; requiring access to pasture during the pasture season and a d 30% daily dry-matter intake from pasture for each herd subgroup (milking cows, onsistent and required policy and calculation matrix for pasture dry matter intake 0-day organic dairy pasture rule.
	ership that has demonstrated expertise and experience in organic production, and results in organic knowledge and proficiency.
	pecific qualification criteria, expertise, and testing to illustrate proof of knowledge dited certifiers, inspectors and review staff to result in consistent oversight and ope of production.
PROPOSED POSITION: OFA SUPPORTS the clarity and integri	ity of organic standards in the marketplace.

OPPOSE

PROPOSED POSITION: OFA SUPPORTS a fully funder	ed certification and accreditation process that is transparent, risk-based (prioritization of
problem areas) and require SUPPORT	s producers and handlers to uphold high integrity in their organic production practices.
_	
	ition of all non-transport handlers and brokers of bulk, non-retail certified organic products of organic products and ingredients.
SUPPORT	OPPOSE
2. Contamination	
Contamination	
compensation for damage of implemented. Pesticide app	reat to the integrity of organic production. Avoidance and mitigation methods as well as and market losses caused by genetic or pesticide trespass must be developed and licators must have stricter rules for use and application of materials. Research and ticide applicators and users of genetically engineered technologies.
	and compensation for losses associated with, damage caused by genetic engineering and organic crops and other affected areas.
3. Hydroponics	
production not be allowed system, such as hydroponics practicesdue to their excluregulations governing them as organic because of the loproduction must be in the solution January 25, 2018, statement USDA organic regulations as	ational Organic Standards Board (NOSB), by a 14 to 1 vote, recommended that hydroponic to be certified organic, stating "systems of crop production that eliminate soil from the sor aeroponics cannot be considered as examples of acceptable organic farming usion of the soil-plant ecology intrinsic to organic farming systems and USDA/NOP. "Many USDA-accredited certifying agencies have avoided certifying hydroponic operations ang-standing requirement—rooted in the Organic Foods Production Act (OFPA)—that organic oil. Organic Farmers Association is concerned by the National Organic Program's (NOP) at that "Certification of hydroponic, aquaponic and aeroponic operations is allowed under the national organic the National Organic Program began." We are concerned this action is correct interpretation of organic law.
	fication of hydroponic production.
SUPPORT	OPPOSE
PROPOSED POSITION:	

OFA urges the National Organic Program (NOP) to revoke the organic certification of currently certified hydroponic

systems and cease certification of new hydroponic operations.

SUPPORT	OPPOSE
4. Organic Certification Cost Sha	are
	Share Program and the Agricultural Management Assistance Act (AMA), provide ments for a portion of their annual organic certification fees.
	fication cost-share programs, with adequate mandatory funding to meet should be given to the Secretary to support programs beneficial to transition
SUPPORT	OPPOSE
5. Organic Research	
invest in organic research to support the public organic agricultural research. Org agriculture is soil health and alternative	U.S. organic demand. This market gap hurts U.S. farmers and it is crucial that we domestic production of organic crops. Farmers need a bigger investment in anic research is a win-win for all U.S. farmers, as the basis of organic pest and disease management strategies—research in these areas benefit both arch dollars for conventional agriculture and organic agriculture are out of
authorize \$50 million in mandatory fund	arch Act (H.R.2436/S.2404) has been introduced in the House and Senate to ling annually for the Organic Agriculture Research and Extension Initiative ant at \$20 million since fiscal year 2010, while many of the production ressed.
PROPOSED POSITION: OFA SUPPORTS increasing funding of fed represented by retail organic sales withi SUPPORT	deral organic agricultural production research to at least the same percentage n the US marketplace. OPPOSE
PROPOSED POSITION: OFA SUPPORTS passage of the Organic A SUPPORT	Agriculture Research Act (HR2436/ S2404). OPPOSE

6. The Importance of the National Organic Standards Board (

The Importance of the National Organic Standards Board (NOSB)

The National Organic Standards Board (NOSB) was created as a citizen stakeholder advisory committee to allow for a formalized process to ensure grassroots organic input into standard-setting and decision-making processes at USDA.

The Organic Foods Production Act (OFPA) statutory language lays out the details of the authorities and composition of the NOSB to ensure that the various stakeholder sectors of organic (farmers, handlers, retailers, environmental/conservationists, public interest/consumer groups, and scientists) all have a seat at the table.

The Board meets twice a year and invites the public to provide comments during the meeting, at pre-meeting webinars, as well as in writing before the meeting. There is no place in our food system that is more transparent than in organic production, and the role of the NOSB is central to that transparency.

_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	•	
u	v	71	D	• 1		_	11	D	•		Ю	N	
г	п	v	г	u		ᆫ	u	г	u		v	ıv	١.

•	e authority and role of the National Organic Standards Board (NOSB) in the seek statutory changes to the delicate balance of stakeholder slot allocations for
the Board membership.	reconstant of the deficate salarioe of stakenoider siot discontinues
SUPPORT	OPPOSE
7. Organic Livestock and Poultr	y Practices Rule (OLPP)
Organic Livestock and Poultry Practices	s Rule (OLPP)
·	cices Rule (OLPP) or "animal welfare rule" would have allowed the NOP to elfare standards on organic farms and remove loopholes being taken advantage
of by some large operations. The USDA	withdrew the rule in May 2018.
PROPOSED POSITION:	
OFA SUPPORTS animal welfare requirer withdrawn 2018).	ments as proposed in the Organic Livestock and Poultry Practices Rule (as

8. Crop Insurance

SUPPORT

Organic farmers should be able to insure their crops based on organic prices, not conventional prices. While USDA's Risk Management Agency (RMA) has made progress in this area, crop insurance programs still need updating to make them relevant and competitive for organic and transitioning to organic farmers.

PROPOSED POSITION:

SUPPORT

OFA SUPPORTS equitable and fair-market insurance programs that are accessible and relevant for all sectors	s of
diversified organic (and transition-to-organic) producers.	

OPPOSE

OPPOSE

_				
5	P	а	σ	ρ

PROPOSED POSITION:	
G	Risk Management Agency (RMA) to prioritize development of additional organic rage, and review policies that cap Contract Price Addendums at two-times the cific crop.
SUPPORT	OPPOSE
under organic transition using the APH under transition	ion producers to calculate the Actual Production History Yield (APH) for acres of other organic acres on their farm, rather than the county T-Yield for the acres
SUPPORT	OPPOSE
	Farm Revenue Protection established in the 2014 Farm Bill and recognize the s transitioned to organic. Raise the cap to 50% on increased production value
Producers will then have the ability to a	Farm Service Agency to develop organic price elections for storage loans offered. Access working capital based on the actual value of their crops to cash flow their e data developed by RMA to establish storage loan prices.
-	Margin Protection Program to cover organic dairy operations by using the cost of te organic milk margin. This change would make the program relevant for the ons.
SUPPORT	OPPOSE
9. Food Safety Modernization A	ct
	t (FSMA) was signed into law by President Obama on January 4, 2011. It aims to iffing the focus of federal regulators from responding to contamination to
PROPOSED POSITION: OFA supports improvements to the Foothat are in line with organic practices ar SUPPORT	nd Safety Modernization Act, providing science based and practical guidelines and standards.

10. Beginning Farmer & Rancher Development Program (BFRDP) Organic Priority Funding

Beginning Farmer and Rancher Development Program (BFRDP) Organic Priority Funding

Over the last decade Americans have become increasingly interested in where our food comes from, how it is produced, and by whom. This interest has driven the organic food market to become the fastest growing agricultural sector, creating opportunities for beginning farmers to capitalize on this increased market demand as they start their businesses.

Unfortunately, high barriers to entry, such as difficulty accessing affordable farmland, high upfront startup costs, and inadequate training and technical assistance, make it difficult for beginning farmers and ranchers to pursue careers in agriculture. Young and beginning farmers entering agriculture today have different needs and face different challenges than those who started farming decades ago.

Many new farmers operate smaller farms, run diversified operations, and come from non-farm backgrounds and therefore struggle to access farmland, which has traditionally been passed down from generation to generation. Many beginning farmers chose to farm using organic methods and need specified technical assistance to enter this growing consumer market.

PROPOSED POSITION: OFA urges Congress to include a BFRD to beginning farmers. SUPPORT	P funding priority for projects focused on providing organic technical assistance
11. Organic Market Growth	

Organic Market Growth

U.S. consumers are demanding more organic food, and they have proven this desire by growing the U.S. organic market by at least 10 percent annually since the inception of federal organic standards in 2002.

In 2017, U.S. organic sales reached \$47 billion (exceeding 5% of the total food sales in America) with over 17,500 U.S. certified organic farmers and 7,500 processors.

The organic market has helped preserve family farms across the country, paying farmers up to two to five times what they make under conventional agriculture. However, domestic organic production is not keeping pace with demand—we simply do not have enough certified organic farmers in the United States, and thus organic imports are filling the gap.

Less than 1 percent of U.S. farmland is certified organic and thus, U.S. farmers are losing opportunities to fill this consumer demand locally. Many conventional farmers are looking for alternatives to help them survive in agriculture but do not know how to start with the transition to organic.

PRC	DPC	SED	POS	ITIC	N
-----	------------	-----	-----	------	---

OFA SUPPORTS targeted outre	ch, training, information and technical assistance on organic farming systems, U	SDA
organic certification and transi	on, and organic market development to historically under- served, minority and	ł
beginning farmers through US	A agency programs, land-grant institutions, and NGOs.	
SUPPORT	OPPOSE	

12. NRCS: EQIP, CSP, CRP Programs for Extended Organic Support

<u>Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) Programs: EQIP, CSP, CRP Programs for Extended Organic Support</u>

USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service programs help farmers and ranchers implement and enhance conservation systems on their operations.

These programs align with conservation priorities of organic farms and should be expanded to encourage more organic participation so that organic farmers are supported in continually improving their farming operations.

participation so that organic farmers are supported in continually improving t	heir farming operations.
PROPOSED POSITION: OFA SUPPORTS raising the six-year payment limit from \$80K to \$450K under to Environmental Quality Incentive Program (EQIP) making these payments equathereby ensuring full opportunity for organic farmers to participate in the pro	al to the rest of the EQIP program, and
PROPOSED POSITION: OFA SUPPORTS Congress to direct USDA to recognize required organic practic programs including the Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP) and expand organic farmers. CSP transition bundles will assist farmers with implementing assistance during the transition period, and offset the financial costs of transition Deprose	CSP organic bundles to transitioning organic practices, provide technical
_	
PROPOSED POSITION: OFA SUPPORTS reform of Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) to include orgonal stransition to organic as additional allowed applicants to be eligible to participal (TIP).	, , , , , ,
SUPPORT OPPOSE	
13. Public Seeds & Breeds Research Funding	
Public Seeds & Breeds Research Funding Both organic and conventional farmers need seeds and animal breeds well-sui changing climates, and farming systems. Without these tools, farmers are har negatively affected.	
Congress, USDA, and our nation's public research universities must work toget animal-breeding programs to provide farmers with continually improving and	
PROPOSED POSITION: OFA SUPPORTS the new Farm Bill requiring USDA's National Institute for Food competitive grants research programs to collectively allocate \$50 million anno programs, with a priority focus on developing regionally adapted organic culti Plant Protection Act.	ually to public plant and animal breeding
SUPPORT OPPOSE	

14. Organic Production	on Market and Data Initiatives (ODI)	
markets, creating risk mana	and Data Initiatives (ODI) tion Market and Data Initiatives (ODI) collects information vital to maintogement tools, tracking production trends, and increasing exports. Good outport a growing agricultural industry.	•
	tion of the Organic Data Initiative (ODI) to provide \$5 million per year in ts, as well as a continuation of existing language authorizing additional fo ess.	
SUPPORT	OPPOSE	
15. Organic Liaison a	t USDA	
coordinated organic issues of	A funded a position titled: Organic and Sustainable Agriculture Policy Advances different USDA agencies under the Secretary of Agriculture. This positing policy issues across agencies and assisting with the "Know Your Farm	osition was helpful
communications link betwe work directly with the Secre policy and educate the Depart	filling the Organic and Sustainable Agriculture Policy Advisory staff position the National Organic Program (NOP) and the Office of the Secretary of stary, the Deputy Secretary, and the agency leaders within USDA to coordartment's personnel about organic farming and what the National Organit to the other goals of USDA.	of Agriculture to dinate organic

OPPOSE

SUPPORT